

Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper-limb function of acute stroke patients

Liu Zhen¹, Zhang Pan-de¹, Liu Cui-hua¹, Rong Xiao-chuan¹, Deng Hong-yan¹, Zhang Jin-xin²

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Recently studies showed that robot-assisted therapy can improve recovery of upper-limb in subacute and chronic stroke patients, but the studies on robot-assisted therapy in acute stroke patients are few.

OBJECTIVE: To study the effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper-limb in acute stroke patients.

METHODS: A total of 30 acute stroke patients were divided into two groups according to the wish. The patients in conventional therapy group received a conventional rehabilitative program including usual facilitation techniques, neuromuscular electrical stimulation, acupuncture therapy and so on. The patients in robot-assisted therapy group received robot-assisted therapy on the basis of conventional rehabilitative program. This kind of robot consists of adaptive upper-limb weight support, intelligence feedback and three-dimension training systems. A single or multiple joint training can be carried out in the virtual reality environment.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSION: ①After treatment Fugl-Meyer Score of the upper extremity assessment (FMA) and the functional independence measure (FIM) were better than the scores before treatment both in the two groups significantly. ②At 3 weeks after treatment, there was no statistical difference in the FMA Scores in the two groups (P=0.075), but at 3 months the patients in robot-assisted therapy group gained better scores than conventional therapy group significantly (P < 0.01). ③The differences were not significant in the FIM Scores between the two groups both at 3 weeks or 3 months after treatment (P > 0.05). ④The changes of scores both on FMA and FIM compared with before treatment in robot-assisted therapy group were better than conventional therapy group statistically (P < 0.05). It could be concluded that robot-assisted therapy gained better motor function of upper limb in acute stroke patients on the basis of conventional therapy. Robot-assisted therapy also could change much more motor function and activities of daily living.

Liu Z, Zhang PD, Liu CH, Rong XC, Deng HY, Zhang JX. Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper-limb function of acute stroke patients. Zhongguo Zuzhi Gongcheng Yanjiu yu Linchuang Kangfu. 2011;15(52): 9803-9807. [http://www.crter.cn http://en.zglckf.com]

¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, First People's Hospital of Foshan, Foshan 528000, Guangdong Province, China; ²School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510000, Guangdong Province, China

Liu Zhen★, Master, Attending physician, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, First People's Hospital of Foshan, Foshan 528000, Guangdong Province, China Izym3798@163.com

Received: 2011-10-13 Accepted: 2011-11-11

Tables and figures



Figure 1 A patient undergoing robot-assisted therapy

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the patients			
ltem	Robot-assisted therapy group	Conventional therapy group	Р
Sex (Female/male)	7/8	6/9	0.713
Age (x±s, yr)	61.67±11.72	59.73±12.30	0.663
Time after stroke $(\bar{x}\pm s, d)$	15±7	15±6	0.660
Comorbidity (<i>n</i>)			
Hypertension	15	13	
Diabetes	5	4	
Coronary heart disease	3	5	
Hyperlipemia	14	12	
COPD	2	1	
Score on FMA ($\bar{x}\pm s$)	28.87±6.71	27.73±6.89	0.652
Score on FIM $(\bar{x}\pm s)$	38.13±15.18	38.87±15.99	0.898

the upper extremity assessment; FIM: functional independence measure

Table 2 Scores treatme	on FMA and FIM at en	3 wk and 3 mon a (x̄	after œs, <i>n</i> =15
Time	Robot-assisted therapy group	Conventional therapy group	Р
FMA at 3 wk	38.20±11.48	31.40±8.41	0.075
FMA at 3 mon	47.53±10.65	34.73±8.14	0.001
FIM at 3 wk	63.27±18.18	58.33±17.43	0.454
FIM at 3 mon	83 80+19 73	72.73±18.14	0.121

FMA: Fugl-Meyer score of the upper extremity assessment; functional independence measure

Table 3 Changes in scores on FMA and FIM at 3 wk and 3 mon after treatment compared with scores before treatment (x±s, n=15)

Time	Robot-assisted therapy group	Conventional therapy group	Р
\triangle FMA at 3 wk \triangle FMA at 3 mon \triangle FIM at 3 wk	9.33±5.19 18.67±6.02 25.13±7.13	3.67±2.35 7.00±2.70 19.47±6.55	0.001 0.001 0.031
riangle FIM at 3 mon	45.67±10.91	33.87±10.78	0.006

 \triangle FMA: changes in scores on FMA; \triangle FIM: changes in scores on FIM; FMA: Fugl-Meyer score of the upper extremity assessment; FIM: functional independence measure

REFERENCES

- [1] Lloyd-Jones D,Adams RJ,Brown TM,et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics- 2010 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation.2010;121(7):e46-e215.
- [2] Muntner P,Garrett E,Klag MJ,et al. Trends in Stroke Prevalence between 1973 and 1991 in the US Population 25 to 74 years of age. Stroke. 2002; 33:1209-1213.
- [3] National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2009: With special feature on medical technology. Hyattsville (MD): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. 2010.
- [4] Rosamond W,Flegal K,Furie K,et al.Heart disease and stroke statistics –2008 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee.Circulation.2008; 117:e25-146.
 [5] Van Peppen RP,Kwakkel G,Wood-Dauphinee S,et al.The impact of physical therapy on functional outcomes after stroke: what's the evidence?
- Van Peppen RP, Wakker G, Wood-Daupninee S, et al. The impact of physical therapy on functional outcomes after stroke: what's the evidence? Clin Rehabil.2004;18:833-862.
 Kurkkel C, Kolles P, Luderstending the pattern of functional receivery after stroke: Easter and theories Rester Neural Neurapi 200
- [6] Kwakkel G,Kollen BJ, Lindeman E. Understanding the pattern of functional recovery after stroke: Facts and theories. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2004; 22:281–299.
- [7] Staubli P,Nef T,Klamroth-Marganska V,et al. Effects of intensive arm training with the rehabilitation robot ARMin II in chronic stroke patients: four single-cases. J Neuroeng Rehabil.2009; 12(6):46.
- [8] Lo AC, Guarino PD, Richards LG, et al. Robot-assisted therapy for long-term upper-limb impairment after stroke. N Engl J Med. 2010; 362:
- 1772-1783.
 [9] Posteraro F,Mazzoleni S,Aliboni S,et al.Robot-mediated therapy for paretic upper limb of chronic patients following neurological injury.J Rehabil Med.2009;41: 976-980.
- [10] Krebs HI, Mernoff S, Fasoli SE, et al. A comparison of functional and impairment-based robotic training in severe to moderate chronic stroke: a pilot study. Neuro Rehabilitation.2008;23: 81-87.
- [11] Hesse S, Werner C, Pohl M, et al. Mechanical arm trainer for the treatment of the severely affected arm after a stroke: a single-blinded randomized trial in two centers. Am J phys Med Rehabil. 2008; 87: 779-788.
- [12] Lum PS, burgar CG, Loos M, et al. MIME robotic device for upper-limb neurorehabilitation in subacute stroke subjects: a follow-up study. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2006;43:631-642.
- [13] Coote S, Murphy B, Harwin W, et al. The effect of the GENTLE/s robot-mediated therapy system on arm function after stroke. Clin Rehabil. 2008;22: 395-405.
- [14] Bovolenta F,Goldoni M,Clerici P,et al.Robot therapy for functional recovery of the upper limbs: a pilot study on patients after stroke. J Rehabil Med.2009; 41:971-975.
- [15] Kahn LE, Zygman ML, Rymer WZ, et al. Robot- assisted reaching exercise promotes arm movement recovery in chronic hemiparetic stroke: a randomized controlled pilot study. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2006; 3: 12.
- Li HJ,Song AG. Zhongguo Zuzhi Gongcheng Yanjiu yu Linchuang Kangfu.2007;11(44):8877-8881.
 Xu GZ,Song AG,Li HJ.Zhongguo Zuzhi Gongcheng Yanjiu yu Linchuang Kangfu.2009;13(4):717-720.
- [18] Schabowsky CN,Godfrey SB,Holley RJ,et al.Development and pilot testing of HEXORR: hand EXOskeleton rehabilitation robot.J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2010;7(36):1-16.
- [19] Bovolenta F, Goldoni M, Clerici P, et al. Robot therapy for functional recovery of the upper limbs: a pilot study on patients after stroke. J Rehabil Med.2009; 11(12):971-975.
- [20] Posteraro F, Mazzoleni S, Aliboni S, et al. Robot-mediated therapy for paretic upper limb of chronic patients following neurological injury. J Rehabil Med.2009;11(12):976-980.
- [21] Masiero S, Armani M, Rosati G, et al. Upper-limb robot-assisted therapy in rehabilitation of acute stroke patients: focused review and results of new randomized controlled trial. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2011;48(4):355-366.
- [22] Burgar CG,Lum PS,Scremin AM, et al. Robot-assisted upper-limb therapy in acute rehabilitation setting following stroke: Department of Veterans Affairs multisite clinical trial. J Rehabil Res Dev.2011; 48(4): 445-458.
- [23] Patricia K, Rajibul H, Jesse H, et al. The development of an adaptive upper-limb stroke rehabilitation robotic system. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2011; 8(33):1-18.

- [24] Fugl-Meyer AR, Jaasko L, Leyman I, et al. The poststroke hemiplegic patient. a method for evaluation of physical performance. Scand J Rehabil Med.1975;7:13-31.
- [25] Bates BE, Stineman MG.Outcome indicators for stroke: application of an algorithm treatment across the continuum of postacute rehabilitation services. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.2000;11(81): 1468-1478.
- [26] [27] Huang VS,Krakauer JW. Robotic neurorehabilitation: a computational motor learning perspective. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2009; 2(6):1-13. French B,Thomas LH,Leathley MJ,et al.Repetitive task training for improving functional ability after stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;
- 10(4):CD006073.
- [28] Kwakkel G,van Peppen R,Wagenaar RC,et al. Effects of augmented exercise therapy time after stroke: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2004;35:2529-2539
- [29] Fasoli SE, Krebs HI, Hogan N. Robotic technology and stroke rehabilitation: Translating research into practice. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2004; 11(4):11-19.
- [30]
- Pignolo L. Robotics in neuro-rehabilitation.J Rehabil Med. 2009; 41: 955-960. Kwakkel G,Boudewijn KJ,Krebs HI,et al.Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper limb recovery after stroke: A systematic review. Neurorehabil Neural Repair.2008;22:111-121. [31]
- [32] Krebs HI, Volpe BT, Aisen ML, et al. Increasing productivity and quality of care: robotic-aided neurorehabilitation. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2000;37: 639-652.
- [33] Sivan M,O'Connor RJ,Makower S,et al.Systematic review of outcome measures used in the evaluation of robot-assisted upper limb exercise in stroke.J Rehabil Med.2011; 2(43):181-189.